P & A 83 of 900-01

G. R. S. IX-5]

1900-01.

Previous references.

File No. 50 of 901

191

Serial Nos. 1 – 8

(S & A.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 8

Major ~~Part~~ B for December 1900.

SUBJECT.

Grant of Travelling allowance ~~Bill of~~ to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore ~~for June 1901~~

Enclosed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Subd. & Secy.
under Govt. Resp.
of 24.4.99 the
Conservator of Forests
is entitled to a mileage
allowance to whom travel
ling by railway with
camp, and to
double 1st class fare
when travelling by
railway without
camp.
2. In his battalion
for time/as M-
Sgt. claimed 3 Rs.
per mile or one half the
sanctioned rate as
full camp equipment

was not taken.
The Comptroller allows
and only double 1st
class fare, and
retrenches the
difference:
3. The Conservator now
submits for the orders
of Govt a supplemental
bill in which he claims
the difference between
the sum passed by the
Comptroller and the
full amount at
the rate of 6 ans. per
mile which latter rate
he thinks he is
strictly entitled.
4. The Conservators
contention is briefly as
follows: —

— treating the journey
as one without a
change

On the journey in question he did not travel with full camp equipage, mileage at the 8 & 6 annas rate would have more than covered his actual expenses while double pay clerk fare would not have recouped them.

He therefore claimed mileage at the rate of 3 annas only. He contends that he can find nothing in the regulations to prevent an officer asking on grounds of economy of having regard to Articles 573 & 574 of the Regulations that a less sum than that to which he is actually entitled by

* Copy sub. for ready reference.

rule may be passed by him. At any rate as
J. The Comptroller of the he certainly
State Land Department travelled with
that his office is charged camp equipage
with the responsible duty It was not
of auditing & passing clear why he
all claims according to without camp
the strict letter of the equipage rate
Rules & Regulations. He had been passed
He concedes that any to him. He
officer is at liberty under therefore now claim
the rules & claim travelling and the full
allowances at any rates rate.
lower than
up to the sanctioned ones
or not claim at all
without assigning any
reasons, but when as
in the present case the
Conservator introduces
the bill a new class of

journeys viz with only a part of the camp equipage and claimed therefor an allowance at half the rate sanctioned for "journey by rail with camp" for which there was no authority or precedent he maintains that his objection to pay the claim at an unauthorized rate was justifiable. He has no objection to pay the bill at either 3 cents or 6 cents per mile whichever rate the Commutator chose to claim, provided the latter secured the qualifying remark

W. Pigot explains that he cannot do

that "full camp equipment was not taken."

6. W-P got complains that the action of the Comptroller in this case does not tend to foster economical changes in traveling & is contrary to the spirit of Articles 5139 5144 of the Regs, but in the same paragraph (7) he admits that he sumed not, in respect to officials subordinate to him, "pay to any body a rate lower than the rates specifically

this as the original traveling allowance bills has been presented for payment.

sanctioned xx

7. The Comptroller suggests that if for[illegible] is pleased to make that arrangement made with the only part of camp equipage should be required by the ~~co~~ Audit office the Regulations may be amended according to a sliding scale of ratios fixed providing for 1/4, 1/2, 3/4 & full camp equipage

13/60

Wrote to the Conservator as follows:

[illegible] Directing. - - - -

I have the honour to observe that the Rates of travelling allowances in question were fixed with reference to the Madras rates

and that thereafter the Govt would be glad if the Conservator could favor them with information as to what the practice in Madras & other cases of the kind now under consideration.

15/10/00

P.d.a. - Supply and [illegible]

Hasan. HK 15/7

Do Mahal
Cop
15/7/00.

Submitted for orders with reply
No. 5459/ 29/10/00 from the Conservator
of Forests.

3/11 Subd. & 2nd. Comm.
for orders. HK 3/11/00

4/11/00
557

Rect from Shunoge
10/11/00

To P & A Branch HK 10/11/00

Subd. & 2nd. Comm.
A perusal of the

foregoing paragraphs is respectfully solicited their bright & clearing the points of contention between the Comptroller & the Conservator of Forests. The special rates of travelling allowance conceded to HS - Pigot are those in force in Madras. The latter was asked to state what the practice in Madras is as regards the point at issue. He replies that he is unable to speak for Madras practice but adds "I have constantly acted on

this principle in
Upper India where
the same rules apply."
The principle" referred
is that of an officer
voluntarily foregoing
a part of the travelling
allowance actually
admissible, in order
that the amount
actually received
may approximately
cover the actual
travelling & personal
incurred by him" &
thus be not a "source
of profit."
I hesitated to
refer back to the

Comptroller with a
view of finding out
the practice in
Madras as the cor-
-respondence has
been dragging on
since August last.
In my humble opin-
-ion the simplest
solution seems the
request the Comp-
troller to consider
the remark in the
original bill viz
"full camp equip-
-ment was not
taken" as cancelled
and pay the differ-
-ence between double

first class fare (already allowed)
and the 3 annual
rate as originally
claimed. by MP

Sept. KR 16/11/00

6/11/00
557 Yes please do so
KR 20/11/00

PLA - Please do right
and KR 21/11.

Effected
CH
21/11/00.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read:—

Correspondence ending with D.O. letter dated 24 March 1899, from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, stating that though the rates of allowance drawn by Col: Walker, were asked to be continued to him on special grounds, yet, as a matter of fact, they are identical with those prescribed in the Civil Service Regulations for Conservators of all grades in the Madras Presidency.

Order thereon No. 7638-9 & 24-4-99
F.F. 12-98

The Government are pleased to direct that the following Special rates which are in force in Madras, be allowed to Mr. J.L. Pigot, Conservator of Forests in Mysore

Batta ——— 7-8— per diem

Mileage when travelling by road

With Camp equipage — 12 as a mile

Without Camp equipage — 8 as.

when

When travelling by railway

With Camp —— 6 as a mile

Without Camp — Double 1st class fare

(Signed) C. Sreenivasiengar
Secretary

To,
The Conservator of Forests in Mysore
— Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

C.P.S.
9.10.00.

Extract of Article 14 of the Civil Account Code, Volume I.

— ◦ —

× ×

Check of charges.

14. Every charge comes up for audit or disposal by the Accountant General, who, if the charge is irregular or is in excess, proceeds to remove the irregularity or recover the excess through the Treasury Officer, usually, however, issuing a warning slip to the Officer concerned; and, if anything more is due (unless the amount be insignificant), informs the Officer accordingly, leaving him to prefer the additional claim or not as he thinks proper.

× × ×.

Extract of Article 1635 of the Civil Account Code, Volume II, Fifth Edition. —

×

1635

1635. An Accountant General is bound to as-certain that rules and orders are exactly obeyed in every instance, and to check every departure from them, however apparently trifling.

× × ×.

836

AWB

Insane Service Regs

Art 513. Travelling allee is given to an officer to cover the actual travelling expenses incurred by him in travelling in the interests of the public service.

Art. 514 It is a fundamental principle that the allee is not to be a source of profit, and no allee are granted to meet the expenses of the families of officers accompanying them when travelling on duty.

3/4

2195
27-8-1900

Pta 361

From

THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Dated
Despatched } 25-8-1900
Received

General No. 788/90
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for orders of Government a representation in the matter of his disallowance in his T/a bill for June last made by the Comptroller.

P.S.A
27 Nov —
Read 4-30 P.M. 7-9-00

Submitted for orders with
Comptroller's letter 1877 of 20/3/01
12/9/01.
12/10/00

Rev, Forests
No. 788/10
Enclosures 1.

OFFICE OF THE
CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE,
Dated Bangalore, 25 August 1900.

From

J. L. PIGOT, Esquire,

Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the following representation for the orders of Government.

2. On the 20th June I travelled by rail to Arsikere with a light camp, en-route to Hassan. The rate of travelling allowance admissible in my case (Proceedings No.7638-9 Ft.F.12-98, dated 24th April 1899) when travelling by rail with camp equipage is 6 annas a mile; when without camp equipage double first class fare. As the former rate would have more than covered my actual expenses while the latter would not have recouped them, I entered in my batta bill for the month, under date 20th, "mileage for 103 miles at 3 annas a mile with camp, Rs.19-5-0. One half of six annas, the sanctioned rate, is claimed as full camp equipment was not taken".

3. On this the Comptroller called for further information pointing out that "the rate of 3 annas a mile claimed for railway journeys" is not provided in the Government Order. In reply I pointed out that I was entitled to claim a rate of 6 annas per

mile;but,in the interests of Government service,asked for only 3 annas;and I requested the Comptroller,if he was unable to pass to me the 3-anna rate to pass to me the full rate of 6 annas to which,in strictness, I was entitled. The Comptroller,however,returned the bill audited,having passed to me the "without camp equipage" rate and stated: "In the face of your remark about full camp equipment not being taken,I regret I am unable to pass either" a rate of 3 annas or 6 annas.

4. Thereupon I forwarded to the Comptroller the supplementary travelling allowance bill attached to this letter. I stated why,though entitled to a six-anna rate,I had desired to draw at the rate of 3 annas only;I referred to Articles 513 and 514 of the Mysore Service Regulations;I pointed out that for the journeys or halts during June when on duty I had claimed at an unauthorised rate (viz Rs.0-0-0) to which no objection was taken and that,as I certainly travelled "with camp equipage",it was not clear why the "without camp equipage" rate had been passed to me. I therefore asked him to re-consider the matter.

5. In reply the Comptroller returns the bill unpassed with a letter the essential portion of which appears to be the following passages:-

> "If therefore your bill had been received in this office in the first instance without your qualifying remark under which is claimed a rate,of your own determining,for conditions of journey not provided for either in the rules or in any Special orders of Government or in the form prescribed for such bills your bill would have been audited in this office without any objection and returned to you with an intimation that you are entitled under the rules to full rate of "Railway Fare with camp" if any lower rate had been claimed and admitted.
>
> Even now if either the original bill or a fresh copy of the same that was received with your letter under

reply be returned duly signed by you with your remark under (II)A scored out, the claim will be further passed at either 3 annas or 6 annas per mile whichever rate you choose to enter. But if you however insist upon having the remark on the bill itself I must repeat that I regret my inability to pass in the face of that remark either of the rates."

6. With reference to this, I can only refer Government to para 3 above and to submit that I am unable to understand why the objection is pressed. I clearly stated that I claimed the sanctioned rate of 6 annas, if the Comptroller was unable to pass to me a rate of 3 annas which I did not claim under the Government order but which, having regard to the spirit of the Service Regulations, I had originally claimed to be permitted to draw. My original travelling allowance bill has been presented for payment and cannot now be returned to the Comptroller as he suggests.

7. In conclusion I request you to be good enough to obtain orders of Government in respect of the supplementary bill for ~~July~~ June herewith submitted. As Government are aware, I am held responsible for the scrutiny of the travelling allowance bills of all the officials of the Forest Department subordinate to me. It is therefore all the more incumbent on me to exercise care in the preparation of my own travelling allowance bills. In British Service I have constantly acted (and always without objection) as I acted in the present case. And although I should not, in respect of officials subordinate to me, pass to any body a rate lower than one of the rates specifically sanctioned, I can find nothing in the regulations to prevent an officer asking, on grounds of economy and having regard to Articles 513 & 514 of the Regulations, that a less sum than that to which he is actually entitled by rule may be passed to him. Personally I should be inclined to accept the Comptroller's decision, as the pecuniary loss to me is small. But the principle involved appears to me of importance in view of the duty imposed on me by Government under the Regulations. And, though I may be mistaken in my

view, I respectfully submit for the consideration of Government that the action of the Comptroller in this case does not tend to foster economical charges on travelling in the Forest Department and is contrary to the spirit of Articles 513 & 514 of the Regulations.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. L. Pym

Conservator of Forests.

Ha 535

3405
26-9-00

Special Audit DEPARTMENT

189

From

THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 20th September 1900.

Despatched 22

Received

No. 1877 22

No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Forwarding for reference the Travelling allowance bill of the Conservator of Forests, for June last, with certain observations anent the claim for journey made by Rail with part of camp, by that officer.

Rec'd 28-9-00.

No 1877 S&V

Special Audit DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 20th September ~~1899~~ 1900

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith for reference, the Travelling allowance bill of the Conservator of Forests for June last called for in your docket no 3991/451 dated 13th instant.

2. In doing so, I beg permission to submit for consideration of Government a complete copy of my letter referred to in para 5 of the Conservator's letter to you no 788/70 dated 25th ultimo, a copy of which he was pleased to send me 5 days ago under cover of a Demi official letter.

3. As fully pointed out in paras 1, 2, and 3 of my letter to the Conservator, all officers are, under the rules, at liberty to claim Travelling allowances at any rates

upto

upto the sanctioned ones or not claim at all, without assigning any reasons whatever, or furnishing any other details than those prescribed under those rules and printed in the forms of bills prescribed therefor, and this office is bound to accept such claims leaving it under article 14 Civil Account Code Volume I to the officers themselves either to prefer or not the additional claims which they may be entitled to under the rules.

4. The objection raised in this office and now appealed against by the Conservator of Forests was therefore not against all lower or intermediate rates generally and absolutely as he makes it appear, but against the introduction in the bill of a new class of journeys which he has done by his remark in the bill herewith sent, namely, journey by Rail with part of camp, and the claim made therefor at half the rate sanctioned for "journey by Rail with camp", for which he was not able to furnish any special authority, nor was my office able to find any either in the Rules and Regulations or in any special orders of Government or precedents in my office. This journey was therefore brought under class

" Journey

"Journey by Rail without camp" and the rate prescribed therefor was admitted, for according to the remark of the Conservator himself, it could not be brought under "Journey by Rail with Camp" whatever that may be for that officer as it was admittedly made only with part of it.

5. The Government are aware that in this Province, all claims for Travelling allowances both of officers and their establishments are not post-audited as elsewhere, but are subjected to a System of preaudit in this office, under which, if any excess claim be once admitted and enfaced for payment, either on imperfect or doubtful authority, payments will accordingly be made at the Treasuries and the charge accepted without any further challenge or scrutiny either by this office, or by any higher authority, while on the other hand if any retrenchments or disallowances be made by this office, the officers affected thereby are given every liberty and facility for establishing the claims so retrenched or disallowed by furnishing to this office further grounds or authority or by appealing to

Government

Government for orders against the decision of the audit Department.

6. Realizing therefore, the responsibilities of my duties as the chief account and audit officer, described in general terms in article 1635 Civil account code, Volume II, and the more serious and onerous responsibility involved in the audit of personal claims as explained in the above para, and the absolute necessity there is for their scrutiny with the utmost care and even punctiliousness, I uphold this objection, which was raised in the first instance by the chief Superintendent of my office, who is in charge of the preaudit of all Travelling allowance bills, who has had 26 years experience in the audit of such bill under the various stages of developments of the present rules and Regulations both under British and the present administrations, and who has had, besides, as the Government are already aware, exceptional opportunity of knowing the full requirements of an outside audit similar to the one my office underwent some time ago.

7. If, however, the Government be now pleased to interpret the existing

Regulations

x Copy put up

Regulations and orders differently and rule that the audit office should recognize journeys if entered in the bill as having been made with any part of camp and admit whatever rate is claimed therefor upto the limit sanctioned for journeys made with camp equipment, and decide to apply the same principle also to halts made with camp equipage, I beg that an amendment to the following effect may be ordered:

(1) Whenever camp or camp equipage or equipment occurs, the words "or any part thereof" should be added.

(2) If this be considered too liberal a sliding scale of rates may be fixed for

1/4 camp equipage
1/2 - do -
3/4 - do -
Full - do -

8. This office is charged with the duty of auditing and passing all claims according to the strict letter of the Rules and Regulations and Government orders in force; I hope, therefore, the Government will be pleased to

recognize

recognize that without some definite amend
ments, similar to the one above proposed,
my office will find it very difficult, if
not impossible, to audit bills similar to
the one now under reference, in any other way
than it has now done, unless each such bill
is received for audit supported by a definite
and special direction and sanction of
Government to admit it in that form.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

E. Mortimer
Comptroller.

Copy of letter No.1070/T.A.38 dated 15/16th. August 1900 from the Comptroller to the Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

.....

With reference to your letter No. 50 dated 10th.Instt regarding your Travelling Allowance Bill for June 1900,I have the honor to state that all Officers are at liberty to claim Allowances for journeys and halts made by them, at whatever rates they choose,provided those rates do not exceed those prescribed for the class of such journeys and halts,and the claim is made under conditions the details of all of which are entered in the form prescribed therefor.

2.If more be claimed, this Office is bound to disallow the excess,and if less,it will admit the claim, and follow the procedure laid down in ~~the~~ Article 14 of the Civil Account Code Volume 1.

3.The prescribed printed form of Bills contains all the details of information necessary to be furnished when a claim for Travelling Allowance is made,and no further information is required.If therefore such details be either qualified,altered or otherwise modified in the manner you have done in your Bill audit becomes difficult in this Office according to the strict letters of the rules under which those details were prescribed and required to be furnished with the claim.If for instance in addition to or without the remark made by you under"By Rail-Mileage ~~in the~~ Camp"you had made a corresponding modification in the certificate No.3 stating that you maintained only part of Camp Equippage and claimed either the full rate of Rs.7½ or any rate lower than that and in excess of the rate prescribed for maintaining no camp equippage you will I hope readily perceive that this Office can only ignore such a certificate and pass only therate sanctioned for halts

halts without camp eqippage as there are no rules or Government Orders under which such a statement can be accepted and the rates claimed allowed.

4. Under "Mileage" and "Railway Fare"only two rates are prescribedone for journeys 'with camp'and another'without camp'and as already explained Officers are at liberty to claim whatever rates they choose under each head, provided they are not in excess of those prescribed therefor and all the prescribed details printed in the Bill are duly furnish-ed.As no scale of camp equipment has been fixed for any Officer nor has any intermediate rate ~~has~~ been fixed for parts of full scale of camp eqipment neither the form nor this Office require any mention in the Bill of full camp,half or any part of it in support of any lower rate claimed,nor do I assume any right of fixing such scales and the rates therefor.

5.If therefore your Bill had been received in this Office in the first instance without your qualifying remark under which is claimed a rate of your own determining forconditions of journeys not provided for either in the rules or in any specialOrders of Government or in the form prescribed for such BILLs your bill would have been audited in this Office without any objection and returned to you with an intimation that you are entitled under the rules to full rate of Railway Fare"with Camp" if any lower rate had been claimed and admitted.

6.Even now if either the originalBill or a fresh copy or the same that was received with your letter under reply be returned duly signed by you with your remark under II(a) scored out the claim will be further passedat either 3as: or 6as: per mile whichever rate you choose to enter. But if you however insist upon having the remark on theBill itself,I must repeat that I regret my inability to pass, in the face of that remark either of the rates.

7.I request also that in my letter

letter No. 697/T.A.27 dated 4th. August 1900 I did not explain the objection to your more fully and clearly and thus obviate all necessity forfurther correspondence on the subject. Enclosures returned.

&

~~Comptroller~~.

(True Copy)

S + A
5 o of 1900
2/

1900

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The Conservator of
Forests in Mysore

Dated 18
Despatched
Received Oct 2/00.
General No. 5499
Rev. No. 5611
Enclosure No.

His letter no. 785/70 of 25 August 00 submitting for orders a representation in the matter of a disallowance made by the Comptroller in his travelling allee bill for June 00

— " —

Adverting to the above, has the honor to observe that the rates of travelling allowances in question were fixed with refee to the Madras Rates and that therefore the Govt would be glad if the Conservator

Conservator could favour them with information as to what the practice in Madras is in cases of the kind now under consideration.

By order,

D/d. AR 16/10

For Secretary

MM
15/10/20

P+A 707

62·6½/1900.

4750
30.X.60

From

To The Conservator of Forests

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

No 1528/70
Dated 29th October 1900.

Secretary's docket
No 5499/569 dated 18th instant.

Submits his views in the matter of travelling allowances - called for in the above.

P+A.
30/10/00 References [illegible]
MK
2/11
Read 2/11/00
Submitted with office note continuation.

OFFICE OF THE

CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS IN MYSORE.

No. 1528/170.

Dated Bangalore 29th October 1900.

From

THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTSIN MYSORE.

To

The Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your No 5499/PWA 569 dated the 18th instant, I have the honour to state that the general practice in the Madras Presidency regarding the settlement of claims for travelling allowance is regulated by Part XI of the Civil Service Regulations. Not having served in the Presidency I have no experience of what the practice would be in a case such as the present. I can find in the Civil Service Regulations no rule expressly authorising an officer to ask for and to receive travelling allowance of a less amount than that to which in strictness he would

would be entitled under rule for any particular jour-
-ney. On the other hand the first two rules in Part XI
of the Civil Service Regulations are identical with Arti-
-cles 513 and 514 of the Mysore Service Regulations. The
very prominent position assigned to these rules in
the British and Mysore Regulations appears to justify
an officer voluntarily foregoing a part of the allow
-ance actually admissible; in order that the amount
actually received may approximately "Cover the actual
travelling expenses incurred by him" and thus be
not "a Source of profit". While unable to speak
for Madras practice, I have constantly acted on
this principle in Upper India where the same rules
apply.

2. As regards the question of allowance ad-
-missible when travelling by rail with camp, the
rule in force in Madras (Article 1107(1)) simply
provides that Conservators travelling by railway
with camp equipment within their jurisdiction
shall

shall receive a mileage rate of six annas. So far as I am aware, the usual practice in India is to leave responsible officers and Heads of Departments free to decide what shall or shall not constitute in detail their Camp equipage and equipment. And that when such an officer certifies, as I did, that he travelled with camp equipage he is entitled to the full allowance claimed irrespective of the nature or quantity of the equipment carried. It appears, from Articles 1086 and 1087 (Supore Articles 513 and 514) that, though no rate for "half camp equipage" is sanctioned an officer may, and I submit in some cases ought to, ask for less than the total sum admissible to him when travelling with camp by rail. However that may be, I venture to submit that, in the present case, the Comptroller virtually admits that, though I travelled with a light camp, I am entitled to the allowance for "with camp equip age". This I submit, accords with British Indian practice

practice. But the Comptroller will not, for reasons stated, pass a supplementary bill which would conform to that practice; and only offers me the alternative of alter-ing the original bill. It was impossible as explained to accept that alternative; as the original bill had already been presented for payment.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

J. L. Pyatt.

Conservator of Forests.

Afn

1900.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with No. 1528 dated 29th October 1900 from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, submitting for orders his views in the matter of certain disallowance made by the Comptroller in his travelling allowance bill for June 1900.

2. Letter No. 1877 dated 20th September 1900 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore, submitting the audited travelling allowance bill of the Conservator for June 1900, ~~with certain observations~~ against the Conservator's claim for mileage at half the rate for journey made by him by rail with a portion

S of S
5-10-1900
E
D. C.

His observations on the same subject forwarded

~~of camp equipment.~~

(1) —"—.

Order thereon. ✓ 72 3/3-34 ✓ 23 Pl 787
Rs 22 Pl 787

The Comptroller will be requested to consider the Conservator's ~~original~~ travelling allee bill for June 100, dis. "Full Camp equipment was not taken" ~~as cancelled~~ and the Comptroller will be requested to pass the difference between the double 1st class fare (already allowed) and the 3 annas rate as originally claimed by the Conservator for his journey by rail from Bangalore to Arsikere on tour of inspection.

2. The supplemental travelling allowance bill of the Conservator

~~the original~~ as altered by the original & the qualifying remark, viz "Full camp equipment was not taken" vide not taken" vide or omitted from the Conservator's original travelling allowance bill for June/00

for Inverness is forwarded to the Comptroller for necessary correction and audit in accordance with the above

Dft. 22/11

For Offg Secy

21/11/00

To The Conservator of Forests in Inverness.

The Compt. returning the audited Municipality bill of the Conservator for Inverness.

Ora 919

Gen. 30]. 6356 / 8-xii-00. 189 816

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

Sent 5 of 7-10 8/-

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Rev. Dept.

Dated
Despatched 6 December
Received
General No. 7287
No. 104
Enclosure No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Requesting to send the Supplementary Table of the Conservator of Forests' Indents for June 1900.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

References perused
Rec'd 13/12
12/12/00.

Submitted
for
19/12/00

Special Audit Department
T/A. Branch.

No. 3287/104

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE

Bangalore, 6th December 1900

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Revenue Depts

Sir,

With reference to Pro: No: 7233-4 PHA 737 of 24th November 1900, regarding the Travelling Allowance Bill of the Conservator of Forests for June 1900, I have the honor to state that the Supplemental T/A Bill referred to in the above has not been received and to request that the same may be sent to this office for audit

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

By Eagles
for Comptroller.

1900

To The Comptroller.

Dated 22 December/00.
0443
P.O.A. 839 / Encl.

His letter No. 3287/104 dated 6th December/00.

— " —

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward the Supplemental T/A bill of the Conservator of Forests in Myenne for June/00 amounting to Rs 25/12/0.

By order.

Dct 26/12/00
for Offg Secy

19/12/00.